

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/088,243 06/18/2002 Jorn Ronvig 12845.5USWO 9387 EXAMINER 23552 04/08/2004 7590 MERCHANT & GOULD PC AL NAZER, LEITH A P.O. BOX 2903 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

2828
DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/088,243	RONVIG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leith A Al-Nazer	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to by he drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least term.	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/N Paper No(s)/Mail Date 18 June 2002.	08) 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/088,243

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Reference numbers 103 and 104, shown in figures 4 and 5, are not addressed in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 recites a method for treating an animal for a laser light treatable disease. However, the claim fails to recite the various steps that make up the treatment method.

Therefore, the claim is vague and indefinite.

Claim 20 recites the "use of a laser apparatus...." This claim is vague and indefinite. Specifically, it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to claim. For example, is the claim an apparatus claim or a method claim?

Application/Control Number: 10/088,243 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1 and 3-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin et al EP 0 786 837 in view of Johnston et al '787.

With respect to claims 1, 3, 7-10, and 16-20, Baldwin teaches a laser light emitting optical system for emitting light to a surface, the laser light emitting system comprising a laser diode (101); a power stabilizing system (125-130) for stabilizing the laser light power within a predetermined power interval; a light wave guide cable (column 20, lines 10-15) arranged in the laser light beam path for directing laser light to the surface; and a deflection system (115) for deflecting light reflected from the surface away from the power stabilizing system. Claim 1 requires a collimating lens be arranged in the laser light beam path. Collimating lenses are well known in the art and are often placed in beam paths in order to collimate laser beams, as is

Application/Control Number: 10/088,243

Art Unit: 2828

evidenced by Johnston (column 5, lines 59-63). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a collimating lens in the beam path of the laser diode taught by Baldwin. The motivation for doing so would have been to collimate the laser beam before it reaches the surface it is directed towards.

With respect to claim 4, Baldwin teaches the power stabilizing system comprising absorbing means (111) for absorbing light emitted from the laser light emitting system.

With respect to claim 5, Baldwin teaches the absorbing means being a photo diode (column 8, lines 30-45).

With respect to claim 6, Baldwin teaches the deflection system comprising a transmission/reflection mirror provided obliquely to the optical axis (figure 2A).

With respect to claims 11-13, Johnston teaches a guide light emitting optical system (46; figure 1) for emitting light to the surface to be treated.

With respect to claim 14, Baldwin teaches the power stabilizing system (125-130) and the deflection system (115) being arranged adjacent in a housing (figure 2A).

With respect to claim 15, Johnston teaches the housing further comprising a guide light emitting optical system (46; figure 1).

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin et al EP 0 786 837 in view of Johnston et al '787, as applied to claims 1 and 3-20 above, and further in view of Jewell et al '796.

Claim 2 requires the laser light emitting optical system comprises a laser diode emitting light within 600-1000 nm. Such laser diodes are common in the art, as is evidenced by Jewell

Application/Control Number: 10/088,243

Art Unit: 2828

(column 2, lines 5-11). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to take the system of Baldwin and utilize a laser diode emitting light in the range of 600-1000 nm. The motivation for doing so would have been to obtain a laser diode that emitted light at a desired frequency range; for example, a frequency range that is safe for medical purposes.

Citation of Pertinent References

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents and patent applications further show the state of the art with respect to laser systems utilizing deflection devices in the beam path:
 - a. UK Patent Application GB2,144,561 A to Burr et al.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leith A Al-Nazer whose telephone number is 571-272-1938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,243 Page 6

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LA

Supervisony Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800